The Law not destroyed but established by the Gospel.

SERMON

PREACH'D

At the Cathedral Church of St. PAUL,

April the 7th. 1701.

BEING

The Fourth for the Year 1701. of the LECTURE Founded by the Honourable Robert Boyle Efq;

By GEORGE STANHOPE, D. D. Chaplain in Ordinary to his Majesty.

LONDON:

Printed for RICHARD SARE at Grays-Inn-Gate in Holborn, MDCCI.

The Law nor destroyed but esta-

A

SERMON

REACH'D

At the Carbedral Church of St. PAUL.

र्यूष्ट्रमें बीच एता १७०१.

. DKIJG

The Foundary for the Year 1701, of the LECTURE Founded by the Honourable Robert Esse Kitc.

By GEORGE STANHOFE, D. D. Chaplain in Ordinary to his Majedy.

LONDON

Princed for Richard Sare at Greenlanding in Holborn, MDCCI.

Scrip-

offices in cities of the forth feeths. Ma P modt tow du Rom. III. alignous a rist is show the Police in the come

For to this pur-

ant not come

DILG

Do We then make void the Law through Faith? God forbid. Yea, We establish the Law.

Aving already afferted the Evidence for the Christian to be in all points equal at least to that for the Jewish Faith; I now proceed to consider what the Jews have found to object from the pretended Inconsistence between And the Substance of what I have to say upon this Argument was * formerly laid down in the fol- * Serm 1. Paglowing Proposition. That, There is no such Difagree- 19ment between the Old and New Testament, as can in reason prejudice Any who embrace the Old, against receiving the New also in the quality of a Divine Revelation. Quite otherwise, that These do so mutually confirm and illustrate each other, that either Both must be, or it seems very hard to conceive, how Either of them can be true and divinely inspired.

Now, taking the Jewish Scriptures, as they are commonly distinguished into the Law and the Prophets, We Christians are accused of perverting both. The One, by affuming to our felves an undue exemption from the Law of Mofes: The Other, by misinterpreting and misapplying the Predictions of future Events. contained in those Holy Books. And this is a Charge. which not only our Adversaries lay great weight upon, but our Bleffed Lord himself seems to allow, that it would, if true, be a just prejudice against Him and his Doctrine; when he forbids any of his Hearers to suspect him guilty of derogating from the Jewish

Scriptures in either of these respects. For to this pur-Matth.v. 17. pose is that memorable Test : * Think not that I am come to destroy the Law and the Prophets, I am not come

to destroy but to fulfil.

As to what concerns the Prophetick part, that will come under examination hereafter. At present I shall apply my felf to the other Article of this Indictment. which you find St. Panl with forme degree of warmch pleading Not Guilty to, in the words of my Text Do we then make vold the Law through Faith? God forbid. to confider what the Land with halds for work

no invester took higher understanding of which Pleasedit will be convenient to take a Thort view of the Abofile's Argument; and observe from thence, bow this Objection might be supposed to lye against him, and

upon what ground his Vindication flands. noon tod suove

The main Delign of this Epitte is to let men Re up on what Terms they are warranted to expect Pardon of their Sins and Eternal Life. Which the Author affirms to be ordinarily artamable no other way, than by the Belief and Obediente of the Corpel of Christ To that Purpose he represents the deplorable condition of all mankind, without the Remedy thus provided for them. Of the Heathen world, By that fad and universal degeneracy of marmers, of which the

(a) V. 20.60. Find (the) Chapter gives fo black a description. Of the Jewish Nation, by proving out of their own Scrip-

(b) ch. 9 % tures, (b) that, notwithstanding the peculiar advantages of a Divine and Written Law, They too slad fallen mor many and grievous Enormities, and were by no means qualified, by any affiftances which that Law did or could afford them, to stand the Test of a just and rigorous Judgment. Since then, whatever there might be in other respects, yet in regard of Guilt

and

and the Insufficiency of their own Works to Justify them. There was no difference (2) between these two (2) V. 22, 23. forts of Men : R remains, that All hood in need of a Difpensation of Free Grace, and a Ransom (d) for (4) V. 24, 15. thole Souls which were forfeited by Transgreffion. Y Now this Provision God, he fays, has made, by the Death of his son, and by determining to for give and accept all that believe in Him, not for their Own, But for His fake and fufferings: Many intimations whereof had been long ago given to the Jews by their (F) Law and Propriets. And thus, as the Sins (e) V. 21. 00. of all Manking had rendred firely a Method Recellary. fo God had approved himself the common affectionate (f) Father of all mankind, by diffusing the Benefits of it; (f) V. 29. 30 and putting All upon the fame foot for Pardon and Salvation, will had already but themselves upon the lattie, in their Sins and their Wants. For that thus the Case stood is the plain Consequence of the Apostle's reasoning about this matter. But then he foresaw another Confequence withal, which some might be apt to draw, though in truth it did not follow from this Discourse. And that was, that the Christian Dispenfation, meant by Faith in my Text, feem'd to bear hard upon the Jewish, and argue it a frivolous and fruitless inflication; which is the importance of making boid the Law here. "To this St! Pant replyes! that he had no fuch thought. So far from it, that the true End and Purpose of the Law is secured by the Gofpel and the Christian Scheme of Religion. in fread of evacuating of disparaging the fewing is the Glory, the proper Perfection and Accompliment of it. Thus I understand those last words, God Forbid. Ted we establish the Law. Teilong enoismiliel es ville But, this fo folemin Allegation notwithstanding.

(b) Gal. v. 1. 2-14.

it is undeniable matter of Fact, that many Ordinances of that Law are now disused and set aside by Christiflians, as not only unnecessary, but even unlawful to (g) Adaxv.10. be observed. St. Peter (g) calls them a Toke not to be born; St. Paul, (b) a Bondage from which Christ bath fet us free; and tellifies, that Every man, who by the federal Rite of Circumcifion had made himself a Debtor to the Law, was fallen from Grace, and that Christ was to such become of none effect. Are not these Terms of great indignity, or can they ever stand with this Declaration in my Text? Do the Men that teach such things establish? Do they not indeed perfectly make woid the Law? Nay, do they not minister very just occasion for that Inference, which the Jews to vehemently urge, and can by no means away with, that God, at this rate, hath retracted his former Revelations, overthrown his own beloved Constitutions, and proceeded with such Levity in the New, or else such Weakness in the Old Establishment, as cannot, without the last degree of Ignorance or Impiety, be imputed to an Allwife, and confequently an Unchangeable Being?

> No; fay the Christians, We absolutely disclaim, We utterly abbor the Consequence; We constantly maintain, that by Our Principles neither is Your Law, nor the Author of it dishonoured. For whereas That is partly Moral, partly Ceremonial, and partly Judicicial: The Last Branch, being not properly of Religious but merely of Civil Importance, can have little or no place in the present Question. The Moral Precepts are not only retained, but even enforced and exalted in the Christian Rule of Manners: And the Ceremonial, Partly, as Distinctions peculiar to that People, Partly, as Figures of things then to come, but now already

> > come

come: But upon both accounts neither of Universal nor Perpetual Obligation, are not so properly cast off, as worn out: They cease of course, because the Grounds, and Uses to which they were appointed, have long fince ceased. So that here is no Fickleness, no hard Reflection in all this: Because what was of Intrinsick Goodness in the Jewish Occonomy is preserved entire in the Christian; What was Typical with Them is accomplished with Us; What was defective there is supplyed here: And all this in fuch manner, as, we make no doubt, was intended from the beginning; and ordained by God to be, when the proper season should come for that Institution (wife and good for the Time, and Persons, and Purpofes it was fuited to) to be fucceeded by another. of greater excellence, and of more general extent and benefit; One which That all along look'd forward at, and was intended to prepare men for. If then the Law be made void by fuch a Faith, it is only fo, as Promifes are voided by being fulfilled or as Images become useless, when their Originals are present; Or as Rules for educating Children are laid afide. when the Heir is of Age, and their Aim is answered. In a word, The Scope of the Old Testament, We fay, is attained by the New 3 and could never have been attained without it. And, fince every Law is then most truly established, when the purpose of enacting it is fully ferved; this miltaken imputation of destroying the fem is Law was thought worthy to be disowned with some vehemence; and it was matter of just indignation to St. Pail, that He and his Doctrine should be thought wanting in respect to Moses and his Institution, which paid them all due honour, and by the very preaching of the Gospel, did thus establish the Land But

(i) Tud. ad Limb. Ser. iii. Qu. ii.n.2. Qu. iii. n. 10. 14. (k) lud. ad. 10,11,12.

(1) Ibid. Qu.

i. n. 7. 9. 10.

n. 3.

But

But all thefe Arguments the How cryuout against as contrived to ferve a Caufe, and built upon no folid foundation of Reason or Scripture. They bercely contend " that the Mellies thould (is) not mould not "and that out fels did not, raile the Moral Lawito Sanyshigher Perfection than Mofee definit im They "urgo, that (k) Their Ritual and Outward is vin that Limb. Ser. iii. " very respect, more perfect and acceptable to God, "than the Christianin Spiritual and Inward Worthip. They i petemptorily denygathat Saheir Ceremonial Law (i) Lawas Given as a mark of distinction for that ii. n. s. Qu iii. " people! so las to be Typical, or Temporary, or in-"Sufficient for the Salvation of Sinners, and upon thefe "or any other accounts formit has capable of a Reapealors They infile that "ofod, had the intended to (m) Ibid. Qu. "fuperfede it; would and (m) ought to have fignifii. n. 7.8.9. 11. "ed it by forme plain Text of Scripture, which he "shathmever done, but frequently the contrary at They (n) Ibid. Qu. turn appendithe " Examples of Helbicandian) shis al 's postles and Corving list for pheir own phisons, and whore declaring against it in the four Gofpels rallowing the newly converted few in the nie ofit, way enjoyie line some parts of its to the Gentile Broselytteria Come "duck viv higher thank can hover be set inclied wwith held Opiniomobit's being labrogareth, and distantinlasse falto be observed y And last by They produce 55 forms () Ibid Quii. (pu) Prophelise of Webersun and 106 Moladitally fure flowed a price of the control of the "overed to chis medple hongrifying with brukes that never "stainly begathered again of mit beir prefent Diffiens "slatisher from whendebale young position and diling follows, that this death in every biragehild of this "fill in full force, and shall continue so to the End of "the World.

My endeavour now must be, in answer to these Objections, to make good the following Particulars,

1. First, That the Messiah should, and that our Jesus did exalt the Moral Law, by leaving us a more perfect Rule of Virtue, than he found the Jews possess'd of at his Coming.

2. Secondly, That a Spiritual and Inward Worship, (such as the Christian) is better in it self, and more acceptable to God, than an Outward and Ceremonial,

fuch as the Jews contend for.

3. Thirdly, That the Ceremontal parts of the Mofaick Institution were designed to be peculiar distinctions to the people of the Jews, Types of the Messiah and the Gospel State, and of themselves incapable to put away Sin.

4. Fourthly. That God did not only intend that Institution should cease, but had sufficiently intimated

his pleasure in this particular.

5. Fiftbly. That the Examples of Jesus, of the Aposities, and first Converts to the Faith, are no way inconsistent with the Christian Doctrine, concerning the
Expiration of the Levitical Law. No, Nor,

6. Lastly. Are those Predictions of Moser and the other Prophets any proof of it's Perpetual, or even Present Obligation; not even when interpreted of the

Jems return from their present Dispersion.

Of These in their Order, and as briefly as the Subject will well bear. This One thing only let me premise concerning the manner of proceeding in these Proofs, (viz) That, after what hath been formerly delivered upon the Evidence for the Christian, as compared with that we have for the Jemish Faith, I look upon my self

Efeb. De-

L. 1. b. 7.

Deut xviii.

(9) Acts.iii.22

'Efueb.Dem.

Ch. iv. 2.

felf priviledged not to forego the advantage of Arguments and Authorities drawn out of the New Testament. Which (besides that they ought to be admitted for good proof by the Jews themselves, till the Testimonies already produced for setting them at least upon the same level with any taken from Mofes and the Prophets, can be overthrown) are proper in this place at least, to confirm and illustrate some Truths, which need not, I hope, upon the account of

any that hear me, professedly be proved.

1. First then, I am to shew, that the Messiah was to exalt, and that accordingly Jesus did exalt the Moral Law, and leave it a more perfect Rule of Virtue, than he found the Jews possess'd of at his Coming. (p) Clarius in this was part of the Messiah's business, some (p) have inferr'd from that Text of Deuteronomy, applyed by St. monft. Evang. Peter (q) to Christ, A Prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you, like unto me, him shall ye bear. Now the Character of a Law-giver is a refemblance to Moses, which no other Prophet under that dispensation could lay claim to; Their Office extending no farther than to explain and enforce the Law already delivered, and still remaining in full power and virtue. But He, who came to propose a New Covenant, and conditions of Obedience distinct from the Levitical Ordinances, acted by an Authority equal to that of Moses in his Legislative Capacity; and so as renders that Threatning very proper, of the People being bound to hear him upon penalty of utter destruction. * The same is yet more clearly foretold Evang. L. I. b. elsewhere, particularly by Micab, * in those words, confesfed I think on all hands to respect the coming of the Messiah, that then the law should go forth of Zion, and the Word of the Lord from Ferusalem.

That this was likewise the general expectation of

them

them that look'd for a Messah, may be very probably inferred from the discourse which passed between our Lord and the Woman of Samaria. Who, upon being told, that the dispute concerning the place of divine Worship exclusive of all others, should shortly have an end: and that God, who is a spirit Seeks such to worship him, as do it in spirit and Truth; answers, We know (r) that Messias cometh, which is called Christ, when he is (r) Joh. tv 23. come, he will tell us all things: that is, as the Context 24,25. and Occasion of those words determine the expression, He will inform us fo fully in our duty, which as yet we understand but imperfectly, that we shall then need no further instruction. To these I only add at present the Jews own Testimony, who gloss (s) upon those first (1) See This words of Ecclesiastes after this manner, All is Vani- andmorerothe ty, that is, say They, All that Law, which we have been Raym Mart. taught in the present state of things, is vanity in comparison Pug. Fid. P.3. of the Law of the Messias. It concerns the Modern Jews Dift. 3. C. 20. to fee, how this Exposition can be reconciled with that Affertion, which Some now (t) advance, "that the (1) Jud ad "Giving new Revelations, or perfecting of old Laws, Limb. Ser. iii. " neither is any part of the Messiab's business, nor was Qu. 2.n.1.Qu. " ever expected from him, nor is so much as possible to " be done by him. In the mean while neither do We affirm, that Christ should either reveal or ordain any thing contrary to the Revelations or Laws received before; but only that he should improve and perfect them, in instances to which men did not apprehend themselves to be obliged before; reduce things to their proper use. and make all so complete, as in this respect to deserve the Title of the Christian Law-giver. And how our Jesus did this, a very few words will suffice to shew: The fewer, because this Point hath had great right done it al- 'Mr. Bradford ready by a * Learned Person who stood here before me, Boyle Lea.

1699. Serm. 5.

I think it needless upon this occasion to engage in a dispute, whether our Bleffed Lord made any material addition to the Moral Law, as delivered by Mofes. (4) Matt. v.22. The Rules he left us for governing (u) our Passions. (w) 28,29,30. for Suppressing the first (w) motions of Unclean De-

X. 29, 37.

(x) 39, 42. fires, for putting (x) up Injuries, for enlarging the (1)44.8c Luk object (1) of our Charity, by loving even strangers. even enemies, and doing good to all Mankind. The commands fo often repeated of forfaking all and laying down our very lives for the fake of Truth and our

(a) Matt.v.31. Duty; The restraining (a) his followers from some 32. xix 3. 10. Liberties allowed the Tews in regard to Polygamy and Divorce; These and some other Instances have led Many to maintain, that the Gospel hath some commands perfectly New and peculiar to it felf. The Jews on the other hand contend, that these are all contained and implyed in those general Precepts of Not coveting, Loving God with all the heart, and our Neighbour as our selves. Admit for the present that it be so; and, that the Sermon on the Mount does not intend to refine upon Moses, but only to correct the false and corrupt Glosses upon him; yet even thus I still insist upon it, that the Moral Law, as delivered by our Saviour, hath received great and manifest improvements. I smol ad

To this purpose I defire it may be observed, that the Excellence of any Law, confidered as a Law, does not confift entirely and only in the Intrinsick Goodness or subject Matter of the Duties enjoyned by it, but proportionably in all those other necessary Qualifications, which contribute to the effectual attainment of it's proper End. Now the End of every Law is the Regulation of Manners, by promoteing the practice of those things it enjoyns, and suppressing the contrary Vices it prohibits. Since there-

fore

fore the constituent parts of a Law are the Precept and the Sanction; it follows that One Law may excel another in Either, or Both of these respects. In respect of the Former, A Law that is full, and clear, and exprefs, is confessedly more perfect, than One dark, and involved in general Terms, which leaves men (who are not apt to be over-fagacious in discoveries and interpretations that tend to their own Confinement) to find the True measure of their duty by remote Confequences, and a long deduction of Particulars. It follows again, that a Law is more or Less perfect in respect of the Latter, According to the encouragement it propounds, or the penalty it binds by; the quality and value of the Rewards promifed to the diligent observers of it, and the terrour and weight of the Punishments threatned to the disobedient and refractory. Since then the Moral Law hath under the Gospel State explained mens duty more fully, and, if not extended it farther, yet express'd it in it's just and utmost extent: Since it hath cut off all evalions from pretended ignorance, in many degrees of Goodness, and cases of great importance, which neither the common People, nor even the Teachers of the Jews held themselves obliged to before; Since, Again, the Gospel does not only direct men in their Obedience, but affift them in the performance, by supplyes of Grace, which the Law of Moses could not confer: Since This engages our utmost diligence by express Promises of Eternal Life, which that Law did no where positively covenant for, but only for Prosperity and Health, and the Promifed Land; Since this restrains the Sinner by threatnings of certain and eternal Vengeance, whereas that Law denounced only temporal Sufferings, Affliction and Captivity, Difeases and Bodily Death: Since the the Gospel-Compensation is an Over-ballance for all we can do, or endure, to attain it; and the Gospel Penalty such as no Pleasure or present Profit can make us amends for incurring: But the Law, supposing it to require all that the Gospel requires, (as dying for Religion in particular) does not propose a valuable Confideration for the duties it enjoyns; It cannot, I think, be refused me, that the Moral Law is exalted by Jesus and his Doctrine; And that, either by adding to the Matter of it, or at least by adding to the Clearness and Strength, the Efficacy and Obligation of it, he hath lest it a more perfect Rule and Motive of Obedience, than he found it at his Coming.

I am well aware what may be objected to some part of this Argument, by urging, that the Holy men under the Law were likewise assisted by divine Grace, and that the Jews in general have all along believed, and acted in expectation of Rewards in a Future State. I readily grant all this; but I deny withal, that either that Grace, or those Expectations, had the Law of Moses for their Foundation, or were ever attained by virtue of it. How the persons who lived under that Dispensation came by them, the Course of my Argument will lead me to enquire hereafter. In the mean

while I pass to my

2. Second Particular, which undertakes to Prove, that An Inward and Spiritual Worship (such as the Christian) is better and more acceptable to Almighty God, than an Outward and Ceremonial; (such as Moses imposed, and the Jews now contend for.) By the Former I understand such Acts of Piety and Purity, of Justice and Charity, as flow from a Principle of Religion, and testify those Habits and Dispositions of the Mind, which are congruous to, and naturally productive of

them.

them. By the Latter, such Religious Performances, as are therefore called Outward and Ceremonial, because expressed by some determinate Rites, as sacrifices, distinction of days, and meats, and such other visible actions, wherein the Body or Outward Man is principally concerned. And here I will take it for granted, that both Parties are thus far of a mind, as to hold, that the Latter, when destitute of the Former, is by no means fufficient to recommend us to God's acceptance. This is so manifest from the Fiftieth Pfalm, the First, the Fifty eighth, the Sixty Sixth of Isaiah, the Seventh of Teremiah; from fundry other Passages in the Prophetick Scriptures, and from the sharp rebukes in which our Lord exposes the Hypocrify of the Pharifees in his time, that the Matter will admit of no dispute. Question then properly depending I take to be This: When both are done upon a Principle of Conscience, and from a fincere defire to Please God, Whether of the Two is more agreeable to him, and if the Spiritual be of it self defective, and stand in need of the Ceremonial Service to render it acceptable.

Now in this State of the Question the Jew Asserts to his Ritual Services not only an equal but even a greater Perfection, upon several (a) Accounts. "As Acts (a) Jud. adiof pure Obedience, the grounds whereof the less we Qu. iii. n. 10. are able to account for, the more honour we do to 11. 12. 13. God, by resigning our own Reason, and submitting to his Appointment, upon this general Perswasion, that his infinite Wisdom no doubt hath weighty and excellent Ends in view, though We cannot enter into them. "That This External and Ritual Worship includes the Inward and Spiritual, and is it's proper Perfection; Consequently then, more acceptable than the Other can possibly be without it. "That God hath shewed

a special regard to This; by requiring some parts of it. (as Sacrifices in particular) not under the Law only, but ever fince the beginning of the World, which proves that he never thought himself well served without it. " Nay that he hath plainly given this the Preference, by punishing the neglect or violation of the Ordinances concerning it, with a more severe and exemplary Justice, than some actions of the greatest Moral Turpitude; And may we not fairly conclude, that those Laws, which when transgressed provoke God most, do certainly when observed please him best? "That the Ifraelites are faid by these very Observances to be fanctified; And "that this is very confonant to the Christians Principles; Who, retaining but Two things of this Nature, the Sacraments of Baptism and the Lord's Supper: hold these to be the most exalted acts of their Religion. These are Objections, that deferve to be confidered, and I therefore reply to each of them distinctly.

cession, that the Ceremonial part of the Law hath no consideration to recommend it to us, but pure Obedience to the will of God. But fure this makes a manifest difference, and greatly to the advantage of Moral Duties, that They are founded upon plain Reason, and the Nature of things. From hence it follows, that any other Rites, as well as those under the Law, would have had the same pretended Persection, and might, had G od seen set, have been ordained in their Stead. But then it follows too, that without all Nature were reversed, God pould not have prohibited the Moral Virtues, and enjoyned the Contrary: Because these would have introduced universal Consistion, and lain quite eross to all our Original Natices of Good and

Evil

Evil. For this very reason, says the Jew, are such Moral Duties of less value, because we pay God greater deference, when we follow his Commands implicitely, than when we make our own Reason the Measure of our Obedience. True: when our own Reason prefumes to dictate one thing, and the Law of God directs Another; then God, and not our own Reasonings are to be follow'd. And yet even then too (strictly speaking) we follow Reason; the Last result of which in fuch cases centers in this, that God knows best what is fit for us to do, and hath a right of making his own Terms: And therefore we conclude, his positive Command ought to over-rule us in despight of any doubts or opinions of our own, which, if we were at liberty, would dispose us to different Measures. But after all, This is not the present Case. The Moral Duties written in men's hearts are no less God's Law, than the Ceremonial; or than the same Moral Duties when written in Tables of Stone. The difference lyes only in the manner of notifying them to us; which in the One case was done by natural Reason, in the Other by Pofitive Institution. The Doubt then is not, whether God shall be obeyed, but how he is best obeyed; Whether in such a way as I have his Command back'd with the Evidence of my own Reason for; Or whether by Ordinances purely arbitrary, without any Inward Conviction of the Congruity and Excellence of the thing. In short, the whole Design of Religion is to plant and cultivate in our minds such Dispositions, as copy after the Perfections of the Divine Mind, and render us Holy, as He is Holy; and a Man must argue very strangely, who maintains, that these Dispositions are not better exerted, in acts which are effentially good, and resemble God's beneficent dealings with his Creatures; than by Sacrifices, or Fealts, or Distinction of Meats, or the like; in which Intrinsick Value, and a Refemblance to our Great Pattern above, are so far from being found, as not to be so much as pretended by their most zealous Advocates. I own indeed, with the Objectors. We ought to rest satisfied, that God had wife and weighty reasons for appointing these heretofore. Doubtless he had; and what some of these probably were, will fall under consideration hereafter. In the mean while I cannot but observe, that this is very improperly urged as an Argument of their Excellence, by Adversaries, who sink the value of our Obedience, in proportion as our knowledge for the Reasonableness of our duty rifes. For, upon these Terms, could we imagine God to have no end at all in them, the Ordinances would be stil more arbitrary, and by the same Rule our Deference still more respectful and absolute in regard to them.

2. As far from Truth are They, who argue, Secondly, that the Ritual includes the Spiritual Worship, and therefore must needs be more perfect than it is possible for the Other to be without it. That the External ought always to express and include the Internal is very certain; but no less so, that in fact it does not do thus; For which, were any proof befides our own experience necessary, the Reproofs and Complaints of the Prophets even now referred to are a plain demonstrati-'Tis faid indeed, that Acts materially good may in like manner be done, without those Dispositions, which must render them truly Virtuous; Our Justice may proceed from Interest, our Alms from Vain-glory. (b) (Cor. xiii. and St. Paul supposes it a (b) possible case for a man even to give all his goods to feed the poor, and his body

to be burned, and yet not to have Charity: which alone

can make them good actions: So that the most exalted Instances of Moral Virtue may be but dead Carkasses, and empty Signs, as well as the Ritual Services of the Tewish Law. Agreed; provided we observe this difference; That those Actions are Ordinarily and Naturally the effects and tokens of their respective good Dispositions; and where, like the barren Fig-tree, they show fair, and promise fruit, but upon closer examination are found to have nothing but leaves; This is a defect foreign and accidental, owing purely to the particular Corruption of the Person then concerned. between the Jews Ceremonial and Moral Services there is no fuch Affinity or Natural Connexion. They have not in themselves any tendency to purify or improve the mind, as Moral actions are allowed to have. And therefore God, who rejects them, notwithstanding their most solemn Feasts and Oblations, calls upon them (c) to feek Judgment, relieve the Oppressed, defend (c) Ifa. 1.17. the Fatherless, plead for the Widow; and then it follows 18. immediately, Come now and let as reason together, Saith the Lord, though your Sins be as scarlet, they shall be white as Snow. These Actions are declared to procure their Sacrifices a favourable acceptance, because, generally speaking, they are signs of a mind rightly disposed; but Sacrifices are fo far from recommending those who do the contrary, that they are no where declared sufficient to recommend themselves, when destitute of fuch actions. They are indeed only particular Modes of expressing men's Reverence and Love of God; but this does not infer, that fuch Reverence and Love cannot be express'd to the full as well without them. They bound that people, on whom they were imposed, to use these expressions at that time; but could not Others therefore, on whom they are not Imposed, please

God without them? Of may not that very People be accepted, when cealing to observe them, supposing it to be, (as I shall shew it is) the will of God that they should cease to be observed? This is the case of Ritual Services, but of Moral and Spiritual it is manifest there is but One way of performing thefe. For no Man can approve himself Devout, or Just, or Charitable, but by the Acts and conftant exercise of Prayers and Praises of

Iustice and Charity.

Nay farther yet: These Acts by custom do naturally improve the mind, bring it to, and fix it in fuch virtuous dispositions; but the Ceremonial are so far from advancing men in Moral Goodness by any power inherent in themselves, as frequently to fall in with the corruption of Humane nature, and greatly to obstruct substantial Holiness. They devour much of men's time. draw off their thoughts from attending to inward purity, are extremely apt to degenerate into Hyprocrify and Formality, and blow them up with false Confidences and Spiritual Pride. 'Twas thus that in our Savi-

MMatt.xxiii. our's time the Mint (d) and Anife, and Cummin justled aside the weightier matters of the Law, Judgment, and Mercy, and Faith; Thus, that the pretence of Corban (e) Matth xv. (e) fanctified unnatural Cruelty to indigent Parents:

Thus that long prayers kept in countenance them that (f) Luke xx. (f) devoured widows houses; Thus, that the Pharisee. (e) Luk xviii. (g) for fasting twice in the week, and paying tithes of all be posses'd, thank'd God, that he was not as other men

are, or even as that Publican, who went out from the Temple justified rather than bimfelf. Thus, Lastly, that Isaiah long before upbraided (b) those of his days with fasting for strife and debate while they bowed down their heads like a bulrush; and turning the worship of God into an empty show, by teaching for doctrines the com-

mandments of men.

(b) Ha. lviii. and XXIX.

9. 14.

In the mean while, though God prescribed both a Moral and Ritual Service, yet what he preferr'd and chiefly aimed at, is sufficiently explained to the Jews in their own Scriptures. Thus David (i) declares, that (i) Pfalling God defired no Sacrifice, else be would give it him, but 17. that he delights not in burnt offerings: and then informs us what he did delight in, The Sacrifices of God are a troubled Spirit, a broken and a contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not despise. Thus God himself by Hosea, (k) I de- (k) Hos. vi. fred Mercy and not Sacrifice, Thus the Prophet Micab, Will the Lord be pleased with thousands of Rams, and ten thousands of rivers of Oyl? He bath shewed thee O man what is good, and what doth the Lord thy God require of thee, but to do justly, and to love mercy, and to walk bumbly with thy God? (1) All which are Forms of Speech, (1) See alfo that, according to the Hebrew Idiom, do by a Nega-Pal. lxix. 31, tive not absolutely exclude the thing denied, but on- 32. Ezek. xx. ly imply a preference of somewhat set in opposition to it. And so 'tis evident they must be understood. For God did desire Sacrifice at the Time when these Prophets wrote, but he defired a penitent and humble frame of mind, a just and holy Conversation, so much more, that in comparison of these, he is said not to desire the most costly Oblations at all. The passage of Hosea is therefore a key for interpreting all the rest, where the whole Verse runs thus, I desired Mercy and not Sacrifice, and the knowledge of God more than burnt Offerings. I mention One Text more of the same Importance, the rather, because (give me leave to observe that by the way) it feems to have been very improperly urged by some Learned men, in favour of an Opinion, that Sacrifices were originally of humane Invention, and not Divine Institution. 'Tis that memorable one of feremiab, Ch. vii. 22, 23. I spake not to your Fathers, nor commanded them.

Nevoch Par.

of 1 Beer (1)

Pol. mix. 34

as Piall 23

mend's

them in the day that I brought them out of Egypt, concerning Burnt-offerings or Sacrifices; But this thing commanded I them, Saying, Obey my voice, and I will be your God and ye shall be my People. Were not Sacrifices a part of that Worship then required? Did not God in the very

(m) Exod xii (m) day of their coming out of Egypt institute the Paschal Sacrifice? Did not Moses ask leave of Pharaob

(n) Exod. v. to go into the wilderness, (n) that they might propipitiate God by Sacrifices, left he should fall upon them with pestilence or with the fword? this cannot be denied.

() See Mai- And therefore (a) the Prophet's defign, I take it, is monid. More to flew, that though Sacrifices were a part of that Iniii. Cap. xxxiii Stitution, yet Moral Virtue and Obedience were what (p) 1 Sam xv. God principally esteem'd and had in view. So that all these passages are the same in sense and effect with that of Samuel, Hath (p) the Lord as great delight in Sacrifices and Burnt-offerings, as in obeying the voice of the Lord? Behold to obey is better than Sacrifice, and to hearken than the fat of Rams. Since then the judgment of God is, cannot but be, according to truth; Since the Ritual and

> That part which he so frequently, so expresly declares the principal, the ultimate, the most agreeable to Him, we ought to make no difficulty in pronouncing the best and most perfect in it self.

> Spiritual Worship are only parts of the same Piety,

Nor need it give us any Scruple in this matter, that Almighty God hath required fuch an External Worship ever fince the Beginning of the World. For, fince a Creature confishing of Body as well as Soul cannot fignify the Inward dispositions of his mind, otherwise than by outward and Visible Acts: the very Condition of Humane Nature rendred such Testimonies of Obedience unavoidably necessary. But it is One thing to asfert the necessity of Outward Worship in general, and

Another

Another to infer the Necessity and Merit of that Ceremonial one, enjoyned by the Levitical dispensation in particular. And, as to what concerns their Sacrifices, many just and weighty Causes may be assigned, why Some of these should have been required and practised from the beginning of the World; which do not infer any thing at all for the pretended Persection or intrinsick Goodness of such Rites. But for this my Third Particular will oblige me to account hereafter.

As little will the feverity of those Punishments, which we read to have been inflicted for the breach of Ritual Laws among the Jews, oblige us to conclude the fubject matter of those Laws to be of greater weight or perfection than the Moral. For, while fuch Ordinances continued in force, the Legislatour might appoint his Penalties at pleasure. And the less there was to enforce men's Obedience from the Reason of the thing, the more needful was a rigorous punishment to secure it. But especially. These Ordinances being signs of a special Covenant between God and that People, every prefumptuous violation of them was a Crime of the highest nature; no less than a constructive Renouncing their relation to Him as his Peculiar; and thus, in an Ifraelite, a more direct Affront and Rebellion against his Authority, than the transgression of any other Laws, (though more important in themselves) which were given to that Nation in common with the rest of Man-

And This leads us very naturally to the meaning of Ifrael's being so often said to be fantified by such Observances. The true purport whereof is, not that they conveyed to them any real Holiness or inward Grace, which no Rites, though never so punctually observed, are in their

their own Nature capable of conferring: But, that thefe were marks, by which the chosen people of God were known to be His; and thus diftinguished from Idolaters. who did not in the fame manner belong to him. The fetting any thing apart to his fervice and holy uses, is frequently styled a fantifying even of things not capable of Holiness strictly so called. And how we are to interpret it concerning that people under the Law. is eafy to be learnt from those Words of God himself, (Levit. xx. 24, 25, 26.) I am the Lord your God, which have feparated you from other people, ye shall therefore put difference between clean beafts and unclean, and between unclean fowls and clean; And ye shall not make your Souls abominable by beaft, or by fowl, or by any manner of living thing that creepeth upon the ground, which I have feparated from you as unclean. And ye shall be holy, for I the Lord am boly; and have severed you from other people, that ye

(9) Secalio Le Chould be mine. (9) vit. Xi 44 00. Xix.2.

Once more. The high opinion Christians entertain of their Two Sacraments does in no degree contribute to support the Jewish notion of a Ritual and External Worship being more perfect and acceptable than an Inward and Spiritual. In these we do not only commemorate the Redemption purchased by Christ for us. (+)Joh.iii 3 5 but are taught to believe and expect (+) an inward and spiritual Grace accompanying the Outward and vifible Signs. But all this, not in virtue of the Elements or Acts themselves, but of His promise, who instituted these, as means of conveying to us the Merits and Benefits of his Death, of which the affilting Graces of God's Spirit are a very valuable part. Which effects likewife we confine to fuch as partake of those Sacraments worthily, and hold, that it is in the power of men to defeat the Intent of these Ordinances as to their own particular.

Mir. XVI 16. 1 Cor. x. 16. Xii.13.

particular, by coming such, as those promises were never deligned to extend, and cannot be effectual to. If any Community of Christians affirm an inherent Efficacy in the Act of participation, not to be evacuated by any Incapacity in the Receiver, we disclaim (s) (s) such in that this as an Errour, of dangerous consequence, and just Mat- opinion of Suster of Scandal. Let Such answer for themselves, and rez, whorepreevade the Jew's objection as they can; but it brings Us pre-eminences under do difficulty, who constantly profess the Christi- the Gospel aan Sacraments, to perfons not duly qualified, to be as thatis' i Sacramere, as empty Rites, as far from engaging Gods fa- ments confer vour and acceptance, as any of the Levitical Ceremo- Gracex opere operato, as the nies. In the mean while our honour for these Sacraments Schools express is deservedly very great, because they have a natural it. De Legib. tendency to awaken and excite in men all manner of p. 721. n. 3. good dispositions, by the lively representation of God's hatred to Sin, and love to mankind expressed in the fufferings of his Son; because they put men into a fit condition for receiving divine Grace, by the preparations previous to them: and because when men are in this Condition, they do hereby ensure to themselves such Benefits, as the Mosaick Institution could not confer. For the Grace of God is a Restorative to Humane Nature corrupted and disabled by Sin; but This could only be obtained by His merits, who was himfelf uncorrupt, and suffered on purpose to repair that lapse. If therefore the Legal performances entitled Men to Grace, it could not be by any inherent Virtue of their own, but only fo far forth as they had respect to Him, whose Obedience and Sufferings merited it for fallen Mankind. And thus the Grace, whereby Good men were affifted before or under the Law, was a branch of the Gospel dispensation then in force, and a Priviledge, not properly belonging to the Sacrifices then offered, but to the Sacrine ificiffit

South ter double

SERVICE THE PERSON

Conservations.

in Control an Server she Latte.

WHAT STATE

COSCO MONTH Brame, and

fice of that Lamb of God, that takes away the Sin of the world; Of the whole World, and all the Ages of it. because flain, not actually indeed till the fulness of time. but virtually from the very foundation of the world; and fo a propitiation having a retrospect to them that went before, as well as looking forward to them that have lived merk to consider "Transminer all 7 fince, the time of fledding his blood upon the Altar of the Cross. This then must make a mighty difference. between the Case of them who observe Jewish Rites, while at the same time they do not acknowledge, nav aberrally rated a obstinately deny any such Redeemer, or the least relation of their Sacrifices to Him; and Theirs, who partake of Sacraments with no other View, but as express Memorials and Pledges of his Death and the Be-Hy Mila Will nefits of it, and infrances of the firmest dependence upon, and most engageing Tyes to love and serve him faithfully. From whence it follows, that, in things fo very far from Parallel, no Argument can be drawn, from the veneration due to the Christian Sacraments. in favour of the Jewish Ceremonies. da andivoid en

Having thus, as I hope, fufficiently answered the Jew's Objections, I conclude this Point with turning fome of their own Authorities upon them. If we may guess at the current Doctrine of that people, by the 6pinion of a Writer so considerable as Philo, almost every Tract in that great Volume will furnish proofs in abundance, that the Ceremonial part of their Law was defigned in every Ordinance to shadow out and be fubservient to some Moral Duty intimated by it. Or. if he be thought to have refined too nicely in the Al-(1) More Ne- legorical way, we are content that Maimonides his (1) voch. Part. iii. account of the Affirmative and Negative Precepts should decide this Controversy between us. He, among many other passages to this purpose, hath a remarkable

Chap xxvi. xxvii xxx.. XXXII, O.C.

diffination.

distinction between the First and Second Intention of God in the Duties there enjoyned. To the First he afcribes all fuch duties as are appointed for their own fakes: To the Second fuch as were subordinate to some better thing. Of That fort he reckons Articles of Faith, Invocation of God, Thankfgiving, Prayer, and the like: Of This Oblations, Sacrifices, and others of the fame temper with them. The Former, he fays, oblige at all times and places: the Latter, only in fuch Places and Circumstances, as are specified in the respective Laws concerning them. Tis true indeed he calls their Fringes and Phylacteries necessary appendages of Prayer, and upon that account allows these a room in the former Class, and declares them of perpetual Obligation. But here partiality to his Caufe plainly breaks in upon the boundaries of a very substantial and just distinction. But then, concerning Sacrifices in particular, he delivers his mind freely in these memorable words. * For . More No. this very reason we find the Prophets often reproving men voch. P. iii. for an overscrupulous diligence in these matters: and incul- Cap xxx i. cating to them, that those are things not intended principally and upon their own account, and that God hath no need of them. All which he proceeds to confirm by that Text of Jeremiah, according to the fense I gave of it just now. So then, Except the Jews will run themselves upon the notorious absurdity of affirming the Means directed to a certain End to be nobler than that End it felf, a Sign than the thing fignified, and a Subordinate than its Principal, they ought at least to drop this Position of Their Outward and Ritual Worship being either Superiour, or fo much any thing like equal, in Perfection and God's esteem, to Our Inward and Spiritual.

By this time I may be allowed to suppose the Thoughts of them, whom these Arguments have convinced, em-

proved

ployed in congratulating a happiness so great, as that of living under a Dispensation, so perfect in it's Laws, so reasonable throughout in every Precept it binds upon us. And this is indeed the Christian's peculiar Glory, that His Duty shines by a native lustre of it's own, such as evety Eve must see and be in love with, which does not set it felf to wink against the Light. And what can be a nobler Priviledge, what a more generous and delightful Pleasure, what a more powerful Incentive to Obedience. than for a Rational Creature clearly to differn the Equity, the Necessity, the Benefit, the Decency and Beauty of every Action he is called upon to do? And hence to be duly sensible, how gracious a Master she serves, One that is fo far from loading him with fmitles and arbitrary and Tyrannical Impositions, that Each command. abstracting from His Authority who issued it, is able to recommend it felf; and Nothing required but what every Wife Man would choose of his own Accord, and cannot without being his own Enemy so much as wish to be exempted from? But, as I would not forbid any of you this Satisfaction, so neither should I discharge my Office, did I forbear withall to warn you, that, as We may, and as too many (God knows) do order the matter, thefe Advantages may be our shame and justest Cause of Sorrow. For what Apology can be found for Them. who live in defiance to all fober Sense, while they live fo to God's Authority; And never incur a condemnation from His, but what is fure to be seconded out of their own Mouths? If our Condition be Light, why are our Deeds Darkness? If we have the best Laws, how lamentable is our guilt if we have the worst lives? If the Tems are upbraided by the Prophets, for ministring to the Gentiles occasion of blaspheming God and his Truth, what reproaches can be sharp enough for Chri-Stians, flians, who bold a clearer Truth in unrighteoufness, and obstruct the Conversion of Jews and Gentiles both, by an open profanation, or fuch a formal empty profession of the Gospel, as does it more dishonourthan if they professed it not at all? These are Examples of pernicious Consequence, and never fail to make One Article in our Adversaries Objections against us. A very weak and partial one indeed, because a misfortune common to all perswasions in the world. But still there is no help, but Doctrines will fuffer greatly in their Credit, when the Power of them is not confpicuous in the Actions of those who espouse them. And this is so manifestly Our case, that though it be not difficult, yet perhaps neither is it decent to recriminate. The less, because there is no Medium with Us, between being a great deal better, or a great deal worse, than Any other men. And therefore, though the Offence be carried much too far by our Enemies, yet Woe to Every man by whom the Offence cometh! Let us then, my Brethren, make it our business to be Christians in Deed as well as in Word: and labour earnestly, that our Practice may be no more chargeable with Contradiction to the revelations of God, than our Principles truly are. To this purpose let the useful Duties and such as are of general Benefit. to mankind be our Chief and Constant care. For hethat hopes by the virtues of the First Table to atone for the Sins against the Second; Hethat supposeth Praying, Reading, Hearing, frequent Communicating, and the like, can fanctify Uncleanness or Intemperance, Falshood and Fraud, Covetousness or Extortion, Envy or Revenge, Slander or Detraction; This man in Superstition is an errand Jew, and in effect prefers a Ritual, before an Inward and Spiritual Service. It is to little purpefe, that the Truth be strenuously vindicated by DifDiscourse, if our Behaviour do not bear Testimony to it. Confute our Preachers may, but silence the ignorance of foolish men and gainsayers they never can effectually, without the People come in and do Their Part too. And therefore, If you have any regard to the honour and advantage of Religion; If any compaffion to those poor Souls who fit still in darkness and the shadow of Death; If any tenderness to your Own, whose greatest danger is the abuse of the best and brightest Light; Do right to the Excellence of the purest and most perfect Law, give all diligence to be holy as he who bath called you is boly, Let your Conversation be as becometh the Gospel of Christ; that so men seeing your good works may glorify your father which is in heaven, and he thus forced to confels, that this is the true grace of God in which ge now fland. A red solve work deal waste and and lead

Now the God of peace, that brought again from the dead our Lord Josus Christ, that great Shepherd of the Sheep, through the blood of the everlasting Covenant, make you perfect in every good work, to do his will, working in you that which is well pleasing in his sight through Jesus Christ, to whom he glory for ever and ever. Amen.

Failhood and Frand, Coverentiels of Exportion, Erryy or Revence, Slands Roy Erry 14. A con in Senser-

before an Inward and Schrittal Screece. It is to little

that the Truth bd Grounduly vindicated by

that hopes by the wittles of the kurs Table to atome for the Sing Sing against the Segnal; Hethat surposets First the Sing Against the Segnal; Hethat surposets the the the the like, can table to Undenness or speemerance.

Books Printed for R. Sare at Grays-Inn-Gate in Holborn.

with a connect or April only water a recommendation

Look Print duce Richard

THE Fables of Afop with Morals and Reflexions, Folio.

Fables and Stories Moralized, being a 2d. Part of the Fables of Afop, and other Eminent Mythologists, &c.

Erasmu's Colloquies in English, 8°. These 4 by Sir Roger L'Estrange.

The Genuine Epistles of St. Barnabas, St. Ignatius, St. Clement, St. Polycarp, the Shepherd of Hermas, &c. Translated and Published in English, 8°.

A Practical Discourse concerning Swearing, 8°.

The Authority of Christian Princes, over Ecclesiastical Synods, in Answer to a Letter to a Convocation Man, 8°.

An. Appeal to all the true Members of the Church of Eng-

land, in behalf of the King's Supremacy, 8°.

The Principles of the Church of England explained in a brief Commentary on the Church Catechism. The Second Edition, 8°.

The Church of Rome no Guide in Maters of Faith, &c. 8.

Sermons on several Occasions, 4. These by Dr. Wake.

Epictetus's Morals with Simplicius's Comment, 8°.

The Christians Pattern, or a Treatise of the Imitation of Jesus

Christ. By Thomas a Kempis, 8° and also in 12°.

Several Sermons on special Occasions. These by Dr. Stanhop. Parson's Christian Directory, being a Treatise of Holy Resolution; in Two Parts; put into Modern English, &c. By a Divine of the Church of England.

Moral Maxims and Reflections, written in French by the

Duke of Rochfoncault, and now made English, 12°

The Doctrine of a God and Providence vindicated and afferted, 8°.

Discourses upon several Divine Subjects, 8. These two by

Thomas Gregory, Lecturer of Fulham.

Dr. Gregory's Divine Antidote, in Answer to an Heretical Pamphlet, Entituled an End to the Socinian Controversy, 8°.

Eslays upon several Moral Subjects, in two parts.

A MortView of the Profanencis and Immorality of the English

Stage,

Books Printed for R. Sare.

Stage, with the sence of Antiquity upon that Argument, 8.

A Defence of the short View, &c. against Mr. Congreve, &c.

A Second Defence of the short View, &c. in Answer to a Book Entituled the Ancient and Modern Stages Surveyed, &c.

8º. Thefe Four by Mr. Collier.

Maxims and Reflections on Plays, (in Answer to a Discourse of the Lawfulness and Unlawfulness of Plays; printed before a late Play, Entituled Beauty in Distress) Written in French, by the Bishop of Meaux, now made English; with an Advertisement concerning the said Book and Author. By Mr. Collier. 8°

Compleat Sets, confisting of 8 Volumes of Letters writ by a Turkish Spy who lived 45 Years at Paris undiscovered, giving

an account of the Principal Affairs of Europe, 120.

Of the Art both of writing and judging of History, with Reflections upon Ancient as well as Modern Historians. By Father Le Moyne. 12°

An Estay upon Reason, by Sir George Mackenzie, 12.

The Parson's Counsellor, or the Law of Tyths, by Sir Si-

The Unlawfulness of Bonds of Resignation, 8°. Price 6d.

An Answer to all the Excuses and Pretences which Men ordinarily make for their not coming to the Holy Sacrament, by a Divine of the Church of England, Price 3d. or 20s. a Hundred.

Remarks on a Book Entituled, Prince Arthur, an Heroick

Poem, by Mr. Denis, 8.

An Appendix to Dr. Pope's Life of Bishop Ward, 8°. Price 6d.

A Gentleman's Religion in three Parts. The first contains the Principles of Natural Religion. The second and third the Doctrin's of Christianity both as to matters of Faith and Practice, 12°.

The Canon of the New Testament vindicated, in answer to the Objections of J. Toland, in his Amyntor. By John Richard-Jon B. D. formerly Fellow of Emanuel College in Cambridge, 8°.

The Amours of Edward the 4th an Historical Novel. By the

Author of the Turkish Spy.

The Julian and Gregorian Year, or the difference between the

Old and New-Stile, 4°. Price 6 d.

The Christian Scholar in Rules and Directions for Children and Youth, sent to English Schools, &c. Price 3 d. or 20 s 100. Plain Instructions for the Young and Ignorant. Comprised in

a Short and Easy Exposition of the Church Catechism.